

## Part B

### **LEAD AGENCY/BUREAU AND/OR SUBCOMMITTEE/WORKING GROUP REPORT (Agencies with Lead Responsibilities Assigned under the new Circular A-16 in Appendix E - <http://www.fgdc.gov/publications/a16final.html#appendix>)** (Please provide a separate report for each activity for which you have the lead)

1. Program/Activity Name: FGDC Cadastral Subcommittee
2. What are the specific federal programs this data supports?  
All programs that authorize, permit, lease or determine how land is used and what is possible from a legal sense.
3. Uses of Data: How does your data benefit customers and support agency missions?  
Cadastral data is one of the Framework themes and is recognized as critical for supporting the missions of land management agencies.
4. Charter/Plan: Do you have a current charter or plan for collection? If so - please describe (include how recently the charter/plan was implemented and whether it is in need of update).

Strategy for nation  
Strategies for the east and west  
Charter for the subcommittee  
Plan for GCDB collection and maintenance  
I-Team plans

5. Metadata Status: Is metadata discoverable and served through the NSDI Clearinghouse? What percentage of this theme's data has metadata and is in a Clearinghouse node?

Yes – 100%

6. Standards: What is the status of this theme's data, process, transfer, and classification standards?

The Cadastral Data Content Standard is an approved FGDC standard  
A subset of the Cadastral Data Content Standard is in the process for ANSI approval (a draft is scheduled to be completed in September)

7. Progress: List FY 2001/2002 activities/progress to date (quantify where possible).

- a. **Subcommittee meeting was held in Charleston, South Carolina in the winter of 2003, approximately 50 people attended. Meeting notes are posted to web page. This was held as a joint meeting with Marine Boundary Working Group and the Eastern Cadastral Steering committee in January 2003**
- b. We have scheduled an eastern states cadastral forum for October 14, 2003 in Atlanta in conjunction with the annual URISA meeting. Pam Cote and Jimmy Nolan are coordinating the morning session and David Stage is

coordinating the afternoon session. The flyer for the meeting is attached, please distribute this as you see fit and encourage folks in the southeast to attend.

**- The second WGA cadastral Forum was held in May 2003 in Slat Lake City. We have about 150 attendees and had a great day and half of discussions. The recommendations and final report from that meeting should be out in draft form in September and will be posted on the web site**

- Florida and Montana have completed their pilot projects with reports confirming and expanding the content of the core data standard. Their reports are available on our web site. The other projects are proceeding well, North Carolina is nearing completion and the Colorado project should have a report by November.

**- The cadastral web sites continue to expand. ESRI helped us with added functionality so that each location can have more than one web address. We have 48 states and many more counties and cities. The site has been registered with the geodata portal. The site's address is**

**<http://www.landuse.com/website/cadastral/viewer.htm> This site covers approximately 300 counties, 20 cities and 20 states are on the site with approximately 1000 hits per week.**

- The cadastral data content standard has been updated based on the public review and was submitted to the geospatial one stop for reformatting to meet their needs on June 1, 2003. the revised standard is available at <http://www.fairview-industries.com/fgdc-cad.html>

- The cadastral data content standard has been expressed as an xml and is available on the esri web site at <http://www.support.esri.com>

- The BLM and MAT are working with the geospatial one stop folks and other MATs on harmonization. Chuck Pearson, David Claypool, Nancy von Meyer and Jason Racette will be representing the subcommittee. This meeting is July 29,30 in Washington DC and we will get you any updates after that meeting. Geospatial One Stop contractors did not complete work as agreed, therefore, BLM is taking the lead for producing a draft standard product by the end of September.

8. Policy: Do you have a formal agency policy in place for full and open access or data sharing? Are you able to fulfill this policy and provide public access with your current agency financial resources as allocated or are you in pursuit of collaborative federal partnerships to support data access?

Yes

Yes

9. Are there areas or issues regarding lead responsibilities for spatial data themes that require attention, or lessons-learned that you would like to share with others? Please describe.

**FGDC - The ISO version of the FGDC Geospatial Metadata Content Standard needs to be adopted so that full metadata compliance can be achieved. The scope or business case for Geospatial One Stop needs to be defined in detail and**

**applied to all theme standards.**

**The Geospatial One Stop contractors have not delivered products on two occasions which has required us to scramble to meet schedules by the end of September.**

**In consistent and incomplete guidance, processes of consensus, and poor contract performance to support Geospatial One Stop are making it very difficult to produce products that are harmonized with other standards.**

Government units data standard needs to be harmonized with the cadastral standards.

Separation of content standardization versus the positional location of individual points is not understood or being addressed.

GCDB funding issues will impact both collection and maintenance as well as partnerships.